

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/487,923	01/19/2000	Jerome Swartz	467XXB	3738
23704 73	590 01/13/2003			
SYMBOL TE	CHNOLOGIES INC	EXAMINER		
LEGAL DEPARTMENT ONE SYMBOL PLAZA			ST CYR, DANIEL	
HOLTSVILLE	, NY 11742		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 01/13/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

PTO-90C (Rev. 07-01)

4					مالية
-,		Appl	ication No.	pplicant(s)	
			87,923	SWARTZ ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Exan	niner	Art Unit	
			el St.Cyr	2876	
Period fo	Th MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	nication appears o	n the cover she tw	rith the correspondence address	
THE N - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failur - Appr	DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN sions of time may be available under the provision of time may be available under the provision of the	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In munication. 30) days, a reply within the tatutory period will apply w will by statute, cause the	no event, however, may a ne statutory minimum of th and will expire SIX (6) MO	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communic BANDONED (36 U.S.C. & 133).	ation.
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) f	iled on <u>23 Octobe</u>	<u>r 2002</u> .		
2a)□	This action is FINAL.	2b)⊠ This action	on is non-final.		
3)□	Since this application is in condition				its is
Dispositi	closed in accordance with the prac on of Claims	ctice under <i>Ex pai</i>	te Quayle, 1935 C	.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4)⊠	Claim(s) 10 and 39-45 is/are pend	ing in the applicat	ion.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/s	are withdrawn fror	n consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) 10, 39-45 is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
	Claim(s) are subject to restri	ction and/or elect	ion requirement.		
	on Papers				
	The specification is objected to by the		_		
10)[The drawing(s) filed on is/are				
	Applicant may not request that any of				
11)[The proposed drawing correction file			disapproved by the Examiner.	
40)CI:	If approved, corrected drawings are re				
/	The oath or declaration is objected t	o by the Examine	г.		
	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
	Acknowledgment is made of a clair	n for foreign priori	ty under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:				
	Certified copies of the priority				
	2. Certified copies of the priority				
* 5	3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Interse the attached detailed Office acti	national Bureau (l	PCT Rule 17.2(a))		!
	cknowledgment is made of a claim				cation).
a) The translation of the foreign la Acknowledgment is made of a claim	nguage provision	al application has l	peen received.	
Attachmen	-	ioi domestic prior	nty under 00 0.0.C	. 33 .23 (1100)	
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (v Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) f Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	<u> </u>
	nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449)	raper No(s)	b) L Other:		

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTO-326 (Rev. 04-01) Application/Control Number: 09/487,923

Art Unit: 2876

DETAILED ACTION

 Receipt is acknowledged of the amendment filed 10/23/02 in which claims 10 and 39-45 were amended.

Claim Objections

 Claim 40 is objected to because of the following informalities: line 2, "are" should be changed to area. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- Claims 39-44 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ross et al,
 US Patent No. 5,859,628, in view of Gorog et al, US Patent No. 5,640,002.

Ross et al disclose an apparatus and method for a personal onboard information system comprising: a housing 304 configured to allow a user to secure and remove a portable terminal 102; a power management system 108 for delivering power to the portable terminal when secured to the housing; a communication port 316 for communicating data from a vehicle cradle 104 to the portable terminal 102; and a GSP system locator coupled to said communication port for generating a location signal and transmitting said signal to the portable terminal, whereby the location of the motorized vehicle is transmitted to the portable terminal by the vehicle cradle (see figures 2, 3; col. 3 to col. 4, line 49 and col. 8, line 8+).

Ross et al disclose a cellular modem for BBS services, but fail to disclose or fairly

suggest that a communication network for communicating an order from a remote computer to a central server.

Gorog discloses an automated order and payment system that allows consumers to place order for goods and services through use of a computer terminal, the system includes a widely computer order terminal, a central computer system (server), communication means (telephone, optical fiber network, RF, satellite, etc.) for communicating with the central computer.

In view of Gorog's teaching, it would have been obvious for a person of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to employ the system of Ross et al in conjunction with the system Gorog's teaching for providing a more practical system. Such combined system would allow users to conveniently plan their activities and effectively shop for their needs. Furthermore, such modification would provide much greater flexibility and convenience wherein individuals could fulfill their purchase order for their travel items while traveling to their destination, before departure, or at their destination. Therefore, it would have been an obvious expedient well within an ordinary skill in the art.

Response to Arguments

 Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 10 and 39-45 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel St.Cyr whose telephone number is 703-305-2656. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri. Application/Control Number: 09/487,923

Art Unit: 2876

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Michael G Lee can be reached on 703-305-3503. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-308-7721 for regular communications and 703-308-7724 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0956.

Daniel St.Cyr Examiner Art Unit 2876

DS January 10, 2003